

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/849,489	05/07/2001	Magnus Fagreli	0459-0601P	8854
2292	7590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH	WARDEN, JILL ALICE		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1743	
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Andrew Commence	09/849,489	FAGRELL ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Jill A. Warden	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the c ver she t with the c	orresp ndence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 C	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 October 2004</u> .					
,_	·					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 17-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,13 and 17-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
, — , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Burea	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/849,489

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the last step recited should relate back to the preamble of the claim in order to provide a nexus between applicant's recited steps and the method defined by claim 1. Examiner suggests including, in the "treating" step, a recitation –in order to conduct R chemical reactions--, or language similar to that.

In claims 2-11, "A method" should be –The method--, as there is only one method defined by claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Applicant's arguments are persuasive. Nova does not teach providing information to the user interface of the starting materials and desired functionality of the reaction product, allowing the computer to design an appropriate reaction to achieve the desired functionality and performing the reaction to obtain a reaction product.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,489

Art Unit: 1743

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jill A. Warden at telephone number (571) 272-1267.

Jili A. Warde

Art Unit 1743